

### Problem set 5, due Monday 11/11/13

**1. Negative externality.** Suppose the market for a certain good (e.g. 'gasoline') is perfectly competitive, but that the good causes a *negative* externality. Marginal benefit, marginal private cost, and marginal external cost are given by the functions below:

$$MB = 20 - \frac{1}{50}Q \qquad MC = 5 + \frac{1}{100}Q \qquad MEC = 6$$

**a) No policy.** Given that there is no policy to address the externality, find the equilibrium quantity, price, consumer surplus, producer surplus, external cost, and total economic surplus.

$$Q^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad P^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad CS^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$PS^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad EC^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad TES^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Graph the market with no policy intervention, labeling  $CS^*$ ,  $PS^*$ , and deadweight loss ( $DWL$ ). Why is this area the deadweight loss?

**b) Pigovian tax.** To maximize total economic surplus, the government should charge a tax of  $\tau^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  per unit to the consumers. Given this, find the equilibrium quantity, price, consumer surplus, producer surplus, external cost, government revenue, and total economic surplus.

$$Q^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad P^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad CS^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$PS^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad EC^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad GE^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad TES^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Graph the market with the subsidy, labeling  $CS^o$  and  $PS^o$ . Why is there no deadweight loss in this case?

**2. Positive externality.** Suppose the market for a certain good (e.g. 'education') is perfectly competitive, but that the good causes a *positive* externality. Marginal private benefit, marginal external benefit, and marginal cost are given by the functions below:

$$MB = 200 - \frac{1}{25}Q \qquad MEB = 110 \qquad MC = 60 + \frac{1}{100}Q$$

**a) No policy.** Given that there is no policy to address the externality, find the equilibrium quantity, price, consumer surplus, producer surplus, external benefit, and total economic surplus.

$$Q^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad P^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad CS^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$PS^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad EB^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad TES^* = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Graph the market with no policy intervention, labeling  $CS^*$ ,  $PS^*$ , and deadweight loss ( $DWL$ ). Why is this area the deadweight loss?

**b) Pigovian subsidy.** To maximize total economic surplus, the government should offer a subsidy of  $\sigma^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  per unit to the consumers. Given this, find the equilibrium quantity, price, consumer surplus, producer surplus, external benefit, government expenditure, and total economic surplus.

$$Q^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad P^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad CS^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$PS^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad EB^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad GE^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \qquad TES^o = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Graph the market with the subsidy, labeling  $CS^o$  and  $PS^o$ . Why is there no deadweight loss in this case?